

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

DONALD RAY WHITE,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.	)	2:19cv474-MHT
	)	(WO)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

ORDER

This cause is now before the court on the petitioner's notice of appeal (doc. no. 9), which the court is treating as a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) provides that "[a]n appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." In making this determination as to good faith, a court must use an objective standard, such as whether the appeal is "frivolous," *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962), or "has no substantive merit." *United States v. Bottoson*, 644 F.2d 1174, 1176

(5th Cir. Unit B May 15, 1981) (per curiam); see also *Rudolph v. Allen*, 666 F.2d 519, 520 (11th Cir. 1982) (per curiam); *Morris v. Ross*, 663 F.2d 1032 (11th Cir. 1981). Having considered the grounds set forth in the notice of appeal, this court is of the opinion that the petitioner's appeal is without a legal or factual basis and, accordingly, is frivolous and not taken in good faith. See, e.g., *Rudolph v. Allen*, *supra*; *Brown v. Pena*, 441 F. Supp. 1382 (S.D. Fla. 1977), *aff'd without opinion*, 589 F.2d 1113 (5th Cir. 1979).

\*\*\*

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the petitioner's motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis is denied; and that the appeal in this cause is certified, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), as not taken in good faith.

DONE, this the 29th day of August, 2019.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE